Activation and Evasion of Antiviral Innate Immunity by Hepatitis C Virus
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Abstract

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) chronically infects 130–170 million people worldwide and is a major public health burden. HCV is an RNA virus that infects hepatocytes within liver, and this infection is sensed as non-self by the intracellular innate immune response to program antiviral immunity to HCV. HCV encodes several strategies to evade this antiviral response, and this evasion of innate immunity plays a key role in determining viral persistence. This review discusses the molecular mechanisms of how the intracellular innate immune system detects HCV infection, including how HCV pathogen-associated molecular patterns are generated during infection and where they are recognized as foreign by the innate immune system. Further, this review highlights the key innate immune evasion strategies used by HCV to establish persistent infection within the liver, as well as how host genotype influences the outcome of HCV infection. Understanding these HCV–host interactions is key in understanding how to target HCV during infection and for the design of more effective HCV therapies at the immunological level.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) is an RNA virus that chronically infects 130–170 million people worldwide, with 3–4 million new infections per year [1]. HCV infects hepatocytes within the liver, and persistent infection by HCV in the liver leads to varying stages of liver disease, including fibrosis, cirrhosis, and hepatocellular carcinoma [1]. Every year, more than 350,000 people die from HCV-related liver diseases. Until recently, the standard of care for HCV was treatment with pegylated interferon-α and ribavirin and this was only effective in about 50% of infected patients [2]. The efficacy of HCV therapy has increased dramatically in recent years with the advent of direct acting antivirals, including the newly developed HCV protease and polymerase inhibitors [3]. While treatment with these therapies can lead to a successful treatment outcome up to 70% of the time, these therapies often have significant side effects, are by and large genotype specific, and can cause viral resistance to emerge [4]. Currently, there is no vaccine for HCV [5].

The host defenses that initially sense HCV infection take place within an arm of the immune system termed the antiviral innate immune response. This immune response is triggered in a cell intrinsic manner when pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) within the infected cell sense the virus as non-self or foreign and trigger downstream signaling cascades that activate immunity. This antiviral response is the first line of defense against viral infection, and not only can it be directly antiviral by acting to suppress viral replication and spread to other cells but this innate immune response is also required for programming functional adaptive immune responses and therefore coordinates the entire host immune response to infection. The importance of innate immunity in control of viral infection is underscored by the fact that many viruses, including HCV, have evolved ways to inactivate various innate immune signaling factors [6].

Interestingly, approximately 20–30% of people who are infected with HCV clear the virus during the acute stage of infection, while 70–80% develop a chronic, life-long infection [1]. The mechanisms that underlie these differences are still not fully understood but
likely reflect a complex interplay between the virus and the host at the level of the immune response. Therefore, a detailed understanding of what makes up an effective immune response to HCV and how HCV counteracts this immune response will be essential for developing new antiviral strategies, with the ultimate goal of reducing the disease burden of HCV, including preventing liver disease and cancer. This review will focus on recent advances in how HCV activates and then subsequently evades the antiviral innate immune response to establish a productive infection. In addition, this review will discuss how the host genetic background influences the antiviral response to HCV in both natural and treatment-induced clearance.

How Is HCV Sensed as Non-Self?

The innate immune response to RNA viruses is composed of three main classes of PRRs, termed the RIG-I (retinoic acid-inducible gene I)-like receptors (RLRs), the toll-like receptors (TLRs), and the Nod (nucleotide oligomerization domain)-like receptors (NLRs) [7]. These proteins sense specific features called pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) within the viruses or present during viral infection. Following PAMP recognition, PRRs signal through various downstream molecules to activate transcription factors that drive the expression of antiviral genes and various cytokines, such as the type I and III interferons and IL-1β. Secretion of these cytokines from the infected cell activates signaling in a paracrine and/or an autocrine fashion to establish the full antiviral state.

HCV is an enveloped, positive-strand RNA virus of the genus hepacivirus and a member of the Flaviviridae family. HCV isolates have been classified into seven major genetic groups, referred to as genotypes, with sequence diversity of greater than 30% [8,9]. HCV replicates as a quasispecies population and it is thought that this contributes to viral persistence because it enables the virus to quickly mutate to escape neutralizing antibodies, preventing an effective antibody response [10]. The HCV virion, which is coated with host lipoprotein, is composed of the viral E1 and E2 glycoproteins surrounding the nucleocapsid core. This lipoprotein-coated virion interacts with several host cell entry factors in a sequential fashion for entry into the hepatocyte via receptor-mediated endocytosis followed by fusion in the early endosome [11]. Following HCV entry, the viral RNA genome of 9.6 kb is released into the cytoplasm. From there, and in association with the rough endoplasmic reticulum, this RNA is translated from an internal ribosome entry site (IRES) into a single polyprotein. This polyprotein is then co- and post-translationally cleaved into the structural (core, E1, and E2) and non-structural (p7, NS2, NS3, NS4A, NS5A, and NS5B) proteins of the virus by host proteases and two virally encoded proteases [12]. HCV replication induces a rearrangement of intracellular membranes into a structure called the "membranous web". Viral RNA replication takes place in association with these intracellular membranes, and many of the HCV proteins themselves are membrane associated [13]. HCV RNA replication, catalyzed by the viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase NS5B, produces an antigenomic RNA that serves as a template for the production of more positive sense genomic viral RNA. These new viral genomes are then packaged into a nucleocapsid through interactions with several HCV proteins at the lipid droplet and subsequently at endoplasmic reticulum membranes in close proximity to these sites. HCV assembly is closely coupled to the host cell lipid synthesis pathway and utilizes this pathway for entry into the secretory pathway and eventual release of a lipoprotein-coated virion from the infected cell [14,15].

HCV can be sensed by all three of these classes of PRRs (RLRs, TLRs, and NLRs; see Fig. 1). The best-described antiviral sensor protein for HCV is RIG-I. RIG-I is a cytosolic RNA helicase that belongs to the mammalian RLR family, which also includes MDA5 (melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5) and LGP2 (laboratory of genetics and physiology 2). RIG-I has three major domains, including a C-terminal domain (often referred to as the repressor domain), a central DExD/H box RNA helicase domain, and two CARD (caspase activation and recruitment domain) domains at the N-terminus [16,17]. The stimulatory ligands for RIG-I have been well characterized (reviewed in Refs. [18–20]) and consist of RNA containing a 5′-triphosphate (5′-ppp) moiety and/or having double-stranded structure [21,22]. The C-terminal domain of RIG-I selectively binds to the 5′-ppp, a distinguishing feature of non-self RNA [23,24].

RLR recognition of HCV

HCV activates the RIG-I pathway at very early times after infection [25,26], and RIG-I activation attenuates HCV replication [27]. HCV RNA physically binds to RIG-I [27,28], and the HCV PAMP sensed by RIG-I contains a multi-motif signature consisting of poly U/U region located within the 3′-non-translated region of the virus, along with a 5′-ppp [28,29]. Recent work has further shown that the 34-nucleotide poly-uridine core within the poly U/U region is a key RNA sequence motif for recognition of the HCV PAMP by RIG-I [30]. The poly U/U region of the HCV genome is highly conserved among HCV genotypes. It is also essential for HCV replication [31–33], and therefore, the HCV RNA sequence in this region is likely evolutionarily constricted and unable to evolve to evade detection by RIG-I. It is likely because of this fact that HCV has other mechanisms to inactivate RIG-I pathway signaling (see below).
It is not yet known how exactly the 5′-ppp and poly U/UC region interact to form the HCV PAMP during an actual HCV infection or when this PAMP would be presented to RIG-I. It could be that known long-range or “kissing loop” interactions between the 5′- and 3′-ends of the HCV genome bring the 5′-ppp in close proximity to the poly U/UC region for presentation to RIG-I [31,33]. Alternatively, the antigenomic strand of HCV may contain a 5′-ppp, and double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) intermediates formed during HCV replication between this strand and the genomic strand could present a multi-component PAMP signature to RIG-I [28]. Both the exact timing and subcellular location of this PAMP detection by RIG-I during HCV infection are questions that remain to be answered. For example, is the incoming full-length genomic HCV RNA sensed by RIG-I? Is the HCV RNA in viral nucleocapsids the first RIG-I ligand, similar to influenza A virus, vesicular stomatitis virus, and bunyaviruses? (See Ref. [34].) Or is some level of HCV RNA replication a prerequisite for RIG-I sensing, as has been proposed for some aspects of influenza A virus sensing by RIG-I? (See Refs. [35] and [36].)

When the HCV PAMP binds to RIG-I, it promotes a RIG-I conformational change that relieves the auto-repression formed through the C-terminal domain [16,22] to promote its activation and oligomerization [16,37]. The crystal structures of RIG-I have shown that this conformational change following 5′-ppp or dsRNA binding allows the helicase domain to make direct contacts with viral RNA [38–40], possibly scanning the PAMP via ATP hydrolysis [41] for specific activating sequences, such as the poly U/UC tract found in HCV RNA. This conformational change in RIG-I releases the CARD domains for ubiquitination by TRIM25 [42] and interaction with 14-3-3ε to promote association with intracellular membranes [43] for translocation to the mitochondrial-associated endoplasmic reticulum membrane [mitochondrial-associated membrane (MAM)] [44] to interact with mitochondrial antiviral signaling protein (MAVS), the RIG-I signaling adaptor protein. This interaction of RIG-I with MAVS results in assembly of a signalosome complex that activates effector molecules, including the transcription factors IRF3 and NFKB, to drive downstream innate immune signaling.

The PRR MDA5 is also a sensor of RNA virus infection and in many ways quite similar to RIG-I; it has an equivalent domain architecture with two N-terminal CARD domains, a central DExD/H box RNA helicase domain, and a C-terminal domain [45]. To date, there is no described role for MDA5 in sensing of HCV RNA, but it is quite possible that it

---

**Fig. 1.** Innate immune sensing of HCV. Hallmarks of HCV infection can be sensed by PRRs in a number of cell types within the liver to activate innate immunity. (a) HCV infection in hepatocytes is sensed by RIG-I, which detects the poly U/UC tract present in the 3′-end of HCV RNA, and by TLR3, which detects dsRNA replication intermediates or HCV RNA that has been endocytosed from dying cells. These PRRs signal downstream to activate the innate immune response program, including the production of IFN-β, IFN-λ, and proinflammatory cytokines, which act in an autocrine and in a paracrine manner to establish the full innate immune response. The red “X” indicates points of regulation of these signaling pathways by the HCV NS3/4A protease. (b) HCV is sensed in pDCs by TLR7-mediated recognition of HCV RNA in the endosome. While pDCs themselves are not productively infected with HCV, the viral RNA is taken up by the pDC following exosomal transfer of viral RNA from productively infected hepatocytes. (c) HCV can also be sensed in Kupffer cells, the liver resident macrophages, by the inflammasome signaling complex. Kupffer cells phagocytose HCV RNA (Signal 1) for TLR7-dependent signaling that activates the transcription of the pro-forms of IL-1β and IL-18, while potassium efflux (Signal 2) sensed by NLRP3 drives caspase 1 processing of IL-1β and IL-18 into the mature form for secretion and full activation of the inflammasome.
could play a role in the antiviral response triggered by HCV infection. Similar to RIG-I, MDA5 also signals through MAVS to drive innate immune signaling. However, MDA5 does have a distinct role from RIG-I in the antiviral response [46]. The viral ligand for MDA5 is long higher-order dsRNA or dsRNA replication intermediates [21,47–50]. Interestingly, during infection of West Nile virus, an RNA virus also in the Flaviviridae, both RIG-I and MDA5 contribute to the antiviral response. In this case, RIG-I is important early after infection, while MDA5 is important for signaling during later times of infection [51]. It may be that innate immune signaling to HCV is orchestrated in a similar fashion, with differing contributions of RIG-I and MDA5 to the antiviral response via sensing of different PAMPs presented at different times by HCV as it establishes a productive infection. While in vitro transcribed HCV RNA itself does not require MDA5 to activate signaling to IFN-β [28], dsRNA replicative intermediates of HCV that accumulate at later times during infection could be bona fide MDA5 ligands. Importantly, expression of the paramyxovirus V protein, known to block MDA5 signaling, can enhance HCV replication, strongly suggesting a role for MDA5 in antiviral immunity to HCV during a productive infection [52].

**TLR recognition of HCV**

HCV infection is also monitored in the host by the TLRs. These receptors can recognize either viral nucleic acid (TLR3, TLR7, TLR9) or protein (TLR2 and TLR4) PAMPs. HCV proteins can be sensed by TLR2 [53,54], likely on the cell surface, and HCV infection can induce expression of TLR4 [55]. Intracellularly, both TLR3 and TLR7 have been shown to play roles in sensing of HCV RNA (Fig. 1) [56–61]. The endosomal protein TLR3 senses HCV RNA for the activation of IFN-β and other proinflammatory cytokines. TLR3 is expressed in liver cell types that would be relevant for HCV infection, including hepatocytes and Kupffer cells, the liver resident macrophages [59–61]. Activation of TLR3 inhibits HCV replication, suggesting that TLR3 is part of the antiviral response to HCV infection [59,61]. TLR3 signals are transduced through TIR-domain containing adapter-inducing IFN-β (TRIF) leading to activation of the transcription factors IRF3 and NFκB for induction of innate immunity. The HCV PAMPs for TLR3 are composed of dsRNA replicative intermediates that form over the course of a productive infection [59]. Additional HCV PAMPs for TLR3 could come following uptake of HCV RNA from the extracellular milieu (possibly from dying infected cells) by scavenger receptors or through autophagic processes [62,63]. This uptake could lead to viral PAMP presentation to TLR3 in the endosome in uninfected cells setting up an antiviral state even in uninfected hepatocytes. HCV sensing by TLR7 occurs in both plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDCs) and Kupffer cells, leading to production of IFN or activation of the inflammasome (Fig. 1b and c; see below) [56–58].

**HCV activation of NLRs and the inflammasome**

A more recently discovered sensing pathway in the innate immune response to RNA viruses is governed by NLRs and results in activation of the inflammasome [64]. The inflammasome is a multi-protein complex made up of a sensor protein (for RNA viruses, this is Nod-like receptor protein 3 (NLRP3)), the adaptor protein ASC, and the cellular protease caspase 1. Activation of the NLRs triggers the production and secretion of the proinflammatory cytokines IL-1β and IL-18. NLRP3 inflammasome activation requires two main triggers. The first (Signal 1) is a priming event involving transcriptional upregulation of the pro-forms of IL-1β and IL-18. The second trigger (Signal 2) results in activation of caspase 1 to process IL-1β and IL-18 into their mature forms for secretion from the cell. The nature of these two activating signals is diverse, suggesting that there is no single specific activator for NLRP3 [65]. For influenza, Signal 1 is sensing the viral RNA by TLR7, and Signal 2 is activated by the viral M2 ion channel protein [66]. Additional triggers that could play a relevant role during viral infection included mitochondrial reactive oxygen species and potassium efflux [67,68]. We now know that HCV also activates the inflammasome, resulting in IL-1β and IL-18 secretion and induction of a proinflammatory response [57,69,70]. HCV induction of the inflammasome occurs in Kupffer cells, which are not productively infected by HCV but rather are able to phagocytose HCV to drive TLR7 signaling leading to transcriptional upregulation of the pro-form of IL-1β (see Fig. 1c). Potassium efflux drives caspase 1 processing of IL-1β into the mature form [57]. It is still unknown how potassium efflux is activated in response to HCV exposure of Kupffer cells, but it is interesting that UV-inactivated HCV also induces the inflammasome, suggesting a non-replication mechanism of action [57]. The activation of IL-1β by HCV likely contributes to the liver inflammation that is observed in chronically infected hepatitis C patients. IL-1β has been shown to inhibit replication of HCV RNA [71], and thus, production of IL-1β during HCV infection could also be playing a direct antiviral role. Interestingly, IL-18 activation has also been reported during acute cases of HCV infection [72], and thus, it still remains to be determined how IL-18 activation by the inflammasome would contribute liver inflammation in chronically infected patients, but the combination of IL-18 and IL-1β could program hepatic stellate cells toward fibrogenesis.

**Other HCV sensors that drive innate immunity**

Another recently described sensor protein for HCV is the well-known antiviral protein kinase R
PKR). PKR is a dsRNA binding protein that, upon activation, uses its kinase domain to phosphorylate the α subunit of the eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 (eIF2α) to inhibit translation of host mRNAs. HCV evades this host shutoff and the actions of PKR as its RNA uses an IRES for cap-independent translation [73–76]. Recently, PKR was shown to bind to HCV RNA at very early times after infection (i.e., at 2–6 h, prior to RIG-I sensing) and signal to activate interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs) and IFN-β, independent of the kinase activity of PKR [25]. This signaling induces protein–protein interactions between PKR and MAVS, which has been previously described as a signaling adaptor protein for PKR [25,77–79]. It has been suggested that PKR sensing of HCV RNA induces a proviral state due to negative regulation of RIG-I via the induction of specific genes that negatively regulate RIG-I (e.g., ISG15) [25]. However, more work is required to fully understand the cross-talk between PKR and RIG-I for control of HCV replication and innate immune induction during HCV infection. In addition, while the PAMP for PKR is the highly structured IRES region in the genome [73,76], it is still unknown how and where this PAMP is presented to PKR for signaling.

Most of the research that has addressed HCV activation of IFN has focused on the mechanisms leading to activation of type I IFN. However, increasingly, type III IFN (the IFN-λs) has been shown to be an important antiviral cytokine for HCV. Not only is it directly antiviral toward HCV [80] but also genomewide association studies have found single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) near the gene encoding the IFNL3 cytokine that can predict whether one will have an acute or chronic HCV infection [81,82], as well as the outcome of HCV therapies [82–85]. The type III IFNs are composed of the three closely related cytokines, IFNL1, IFNL2, and IFNL3 (also known as IL-29, IL-28A, and IL-28B). Additionally a fourth type III IFN, IFNL4, has also recently been discovered (and SNPs in IFNL4 have also been described to be associated with natural and treatment-induced HCV clearance), although much of the biology governing IFNL4 remains to be determined [86,87]. Similar to type I IFN, signaling by the type III IFNs upon receptor engagement activates the JAK/STAT signaling pathway for the formation of the ISGF3 transcription complex (made of up IRF9 and STAT1/STAT2 heterodimers) and transcriptional activation of very similar ISG profiles (reviewed in Ref. [88]). However, the receptor for type III IFN is different from that of type I IFN and is composed of the IL-10R2 and IFNLR1 receptor, which have a more limited cellular distribution profile than IFNAR, the receptor for type I IFN [89]. This limited receptor distribution profile likely contributes to a more refined, local antiviral action of the type III IFNs. HCV infection of primary hepatocyte cultures does induce type III IFN [90–92], but not much is known about the sensing pathways and transcription factors that contribute to this activation during HCV infection. While the HCV poly U/UC PAMP, the HCV 3′-untranslated region, as well as poly I:C, can signal to various members of the type III IFN family through IRF3- and NFκB-dependent signaling pathways in different cell types [91,93,94], the actual HCV PAMP generated during HCV infection that triggers type III IFN and the timing of this activation, differential induction of the members of the type III IFN family, and relevant cell types are still unknown. The presence of the unfavorable SNP near IFNL3 does appear to result in less expression of IFNL3 within the liver, peripheral blood mononuclear cells, blood dendritic cell antigen 3+ dendritic cells that accumulate in the liver, and whole blood [84,85,95–98], suggesting that IFNL3 is required for optimal HCV clearance and therapy responses. However, a functional SNP that governs regulation of IFNL3, as well as the mechanism for this regulation, has yet to be identified. Further, it remains to be determined why IFNL3 itself would be the key IFN-λ that governs natural and treatment-induced responses to HCV infection [99]. Answers to these questions will produce great advances in our understanding of how to make a productive immune response to HCV and in the design of new therapies for HCV.

The above mentioned antiviral sensing pathways for HCV likely all contribute to a functional immune response to HCV, including priming of an adaptive immune response, important for ultimate viral clearance [100,101]. However, we know very little about how these sensor proteins cooperate to induce the antiviral response, the timing of their activation, and the most relevant cell types of action. In addition, in most cases, the natural HCV PAMP for these sensors is unknown. The most authentic HCV PAMP may in fact be HCV RNA in complex with viral RNA binding proteins [102]. HCV infection takes place within hepatocytes located within the liver. Other cell types within the liver, including pDCs [58,94], Kupffer cells (described above [57]), and stellate cells [103], can induce type I and III IFNs and other proinflammatory cytokines during HCV infection through uptake of viral RNA and/or cross-talk with hepatocytes, for example, by exosomal PAMP carriers [56], and cytokine production by these cells undoubtedly influences the outcome of HCV infection. Further, it is possible that other as yet undiscovered RNA, protein, and metabolite-sensing pathways contribute to the antiviral response to HCV. For example, membrane perturbations that occur during the HCV entry process could activate some level of innate immune signaling, as has been described for virus-like particles and other enveloped viruses [104,105].

Immune Effectors of HCV

Innate immunity activates a signaling cascade that induces IFN and also hundreds of ISGs, many with
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direct antiviral properties that control virus replication and spread [106,107]. One of the major therapies for HCV utilizes IFN-α, which can drive expression of ISGs, even in the infected patient [108]. As IFN-α-based therapies are effective at eliminating HCV in about half of those infected with the virus, ISGs likely have some direct antiviral activity toward HCV in the infected hepatocyte. It is important to also remember that innate immune signaling, including IFN signaling, is involved in orchestrating functional adaptive immune responses known to control HCV infection outcome [109–111]. Several recent screens have identified key ISGs with antiviral activity toward infectious HCV [112–115]. These studies have complemented other single and combinatorial ISG functional studies that have previously identified a range of ISGs with anti-HCV effects (reviewed in Refs. [107] and [116]). While many ISGs have been identified with anti-HCV activity, the antiviral mechanism of action toward HCV for many of these ISGs has yet to be discovered and, at times, has been conflicting based on the experimental cell lines and assays used to assess activity [116]. Several ISGs, including PKR, Viperin, and the IFITM family of proteins, have consistently been identified as having anti-HCV activity. In particular, Viperin, which blocks viral replication through interactions with NS5A [117–119], and also IFITM1, which prevents HCV entry by blocking the function of the HCV entry factors [120], have very strong anti-HCV activity. It is unlikely that any single ISG is the “key anti-HCV ISG” that dictates viral clearance either during natural infection or in response to IFN-based therapy [112], but rather, it is likely the combinatorial action of these ISGs that, in concert with the adaptive immune response, dictate the outcome of the infection.

HCV Subversion of Innate Immune Surveillance Programs

Despite the fact that HCV is sensed by many different innate immune pathways, in about 80% of those infected, the immune response does not effectively clear the virus, resulting in a life-long chronic infection [121]. While there could be many factors that contribute to the ability of the virus establish chronic infection, one hypothesis is that HCV evasion of host innate immune signaling contributes to viral persistence and chronicity. HCV utilizes several mechanisms to evade innate immunity. The key viral factor of the HCV immune evasion program is the viral NS3/4A protease, which consists of two proteins, NS3 and NS4A, that oligomerize to form a protein complex. NS3/4A plays many important roles in the viral life cycle, including in viral RNA replication, polyprotein processing, and viral assembly [122]. To regulate innate immune signaling, NS3/4A utilizes its protease domain to cleave key innate immune signaling adaptor proteins, effectively inactivating those viral RNA detection programs. To regulate the RIG-I signaling pathway, NS3/4A targets and cleaves MAVS, preventing its dimerization and downstream signaling of innate immunity [26,123–128]. Both NS3/4A and MAVS are associated with intracellular membranes and localized to diverse sites within the intracellular membrane network, including MAM, peroxisomes, and mitochondria [44,129]. NS3/4A cleavage of MAVS occurs at the MAM, releasing MAVS from its association with intracellular membranes and thereby eliminating RIG-I pathway signaling [44]. The fact that this cleavage occurs specifically at the MAM, rather than at the mitochondria, the first described subcellular location for MAVS [130], suggests that the MAM-localized MAVS must drive RIG-I pathway signaling and antiviral responses during HCV infection. Cleavage of MAVS during HCV infection has been shown in vivo in patients, and patients with cleaved MAVS have lower levels of IFN pathway induction [26,131]. Because PKR can also signal through MAVS to activate a subset of innate immune genes [25], it is likely that NS3/4A cleavage of MAVS also regulates PKR signaling of innate immunity. The subcellular location and mechanisms, including time of action during HCV infection, that govern this specific regulation are still unknown. In fact, it has been hypothesized that MAVS evolution has been driven by interactions with viral proteases of ancient hepaciviruses, implicating MAVS cleavage as a key component of hepacivirus pathogenesis [132]. Overall, MAVS cleavage by NS3/4A during HCV infection is likely a critical component of the HCV immune evasion program to prevent induction of the antiviral response to HCV, contributing to mechanisms of viral persistence.

The HCV NS3/4A protease can also cleave TRIF [133], the key adaptor for the TLR3 signaling pathway. TRIF cleavage by NS3/4A has been shown directly in vitro, and during HCV infection, TRIF protein levels decrease, most likely from destabilization of the protein after cleavage by NS3/4A [61,133]. We know much less about the molecular mechanisms regulating TRIF cleavage by NS3/4A than we do for cleavage of MAVS. Cleavage of TRIF by NS3/4A does block TLR3-dependent signaling [133]. As TRIF has also been implicated as an adaptor protein for non-TLR3-dependent innate immune signaling [134], it is quite possible that NS3/4A-mediated cleavage of TRIF could also regulate non-TLR3-mediated signaling.

In addition to blocking the IFN induction pathway, HCV has several strategies for evading the IFN response pathway. One of the ways that HCV blocks the IFN response pathway is through PKR activation. In cells persistently infected with HCV and treated with IFN-α, PKR kinase activation results in translational suppression of host mRNAs, including
ISGs (but not HCV, where translation is driven by the IRES), thereby precluding the antiviral functions of IFN [74]. Several HCV proteins have also been implicated as regulators of the IFN response pathway, but the literature on this topic is often conflicting [101,135]. It is clear that expression of HCV proteins blocks IFN signaling at the level of the JAK/STAT pathway [136]. A clear understanding of how this block occurs will be useful in understanding how IFN therapy responses may be inhibited during HCV infection.

There are likely other strategies used by HCV for immune evasion yet to be discovered that play critical roles in viral infection outcomes. Additionally, there is an increasing role for miRNAs in mediating HCV innate immune evasion strategies. For example, miR-122, a miRNA critical for HCV replication [137], has been suggested to shield the 5′-end of the HCV genome from RNA sensing pathways [138,139]. An HCV-induced miRNA has also been reported to be involved in evasion of IFN signaling to promote HCV replication [140]. With recent advances in miRNA detection sensitivities, it is quite likely that other host miRNAs that regulate novel aspects of immunity to HCV will be described, and these discoveries will provide insights for the design of new antiviral therapies toward HCV.

**IFN Response to HCV**

In spite of the fact that HCV has multiple strategies to antagonize innate immune signaling pathways, many patients infected with HCV display high hepatic ISG mRNA activation profiles within the liver [108,141–143]. Further, hepatitis C patients that have induced expression of ISGs prior to therapy are the ones that most often do not respond to therapy. There are many questions that remain concerning these pre-therapy-induced ISGs, including how are these ISGs induced prior to therapy, what is the cell type producing the IFN that activates these ISGs, and why are they only induced in some patients. The HCV genotypes have differential response rates to IFN therapy, and infection with the difficult to treat HCV genotypes 1 and 4 leads to high pre-therapy hepatic ISG expression [95,108,144]. Differential responses to distinct viral genotypes of HCV could be due to the variability of the viruses to regulate IFN induction and/or signaling pathways [131,145–149].

It has been proposed that this high pre-therapy activation of ISGs prevents type I IFN from having any functional antiviral effect because the cells become refractory to IFN signaling, perhaps through activation of specific ISGs that act as negative regulators of IFNAR signaling [150,151]. Additionally, it could be that, while ISG mRNA levels are high in these patients, activation of PKR (as described above) prevents the translation of these ISGs and therefore prevents their anti-HCV effector function [74]. Recent studies have implicated the specific cell-type profile of pre-therapy ISG levels as critical to predicting therapy responses, with the pre-therapy levels of ISGs in Kupffer cells being a strong predictor of therapy responses [95,144,152]. This work suggests that functional cross-talk between Kupffer cells and hepatocytes, perhaps through induction and response to various cytokines (including type I and/or type III IFN), may be the key to a functional immune response toward HCV infection. Understanding the mechanisms that underlie the induction of ISGs in Kupffer cells during both HCV infection and therapy, and how patient IFNL3 genotype may influence these interactions, will be critical in understanding how interactions between Kupffer cells and other immune cells such as pDCs and T cells contribute to eventual clearance of HCV in the infected hepatocyte.

**Conclusions**

We now know that HCV is sensed as foreign or non-self by multiple arms of the innate immune response, and activation of all of these responses would be key to priming a functional adaptive response that would lead to eventual viral clearance. However, HCV antagonism of the innate immune response, both at the level of the IFN response and the IFN induction pathways, likely plays a key role in viral pathogenesis and ability of the virus to maintain a persistent, life-long infection in many who become infected. In the coming years, research aimed at understanding how the multiple arms of the innate immune response interact, as well as how the interactions between relevant cell types together drive immunity to HCV, will be key in understanding what drives a protective immune response to HCV and will be required to inform the development of a vaccine for HCV. Furthermore, an understanding of how one’s genotype at IFNL3, HLA, or other loci, along with gender, age, and ethnicity, influence both spontaneous and therapy-induced HCV clearance will be important to design personalized HCV therapies in the future.
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